FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 24 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

EDDIE JAY JUSZCZAK,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 08-15073

D.C. Nos. CV-05-00786-JMR CR-02-01403-JMR

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona John M. Roll, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 18, 2009**

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Federal prisoner Eddie Jay Juszczak appeals from the district court's denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253, and we affirm.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Juszczak contends that he received ineffective assistance of counsel when his trial counsel failed to challenge at sentencing the characterization of his prior solicitation offense as a controlled substance offense within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(b). Because this issue is meritless, counsel's failure to raise the issue does not constitute ineffective assistance of counsel. *See Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 687-89 (1984); *see also United States v. Shumate*, 329 F.3d 1026, 1030 (9th Cir.), *amended by* 341 F.3d 852 (9th Cir. 2003).

We reject the government's contention that this issue was previously raised on direct appeal. *Cf. United States v. Redd*, 759 F.2d 699, 701 (9th Cir. 1985).

AFFIRMED.